



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,417	03/30/2004	Sumit Agarwal	16113-641001	1265
26192 7590 01/15/2009 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. PO BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				
EXAMINER				
PHAM, MICHAEL				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2167				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
01/15/2009		ELECTRONIC		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/812,417	<b>Applicant(s)</b> AGARWAL ET AL.		
	<b>Examiner</b> MICHAEL PHAM	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;"><b>Art Unit</b> 2167</td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> </table>	<b>Art Unit</b> 2167	
<b>Art Unit</b> 2167				

  

**All Participants:**

(1) MICHAEL PHAM.

(2) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 8 January 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

Claims discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

Prior art documents discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant's raised issues in regards to previous amendments concerning "of the trust scores". Supplemental amendments were discussed, and authorization for a supplemental examiner's amendment was given.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) Leila R. Abdi (reg. 52, 399).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

/M. P./

Examiner, Art Unit 2167

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)